Project Name: Accela Foods Implementation Project ID: D52162Al

Leadership Group: Land									
Departmen	t: Health & H	uman Services		Divisio	n: Health				
Project Spo	onsor: Sara S	Stoddard	Date Reque	sted: 2/7/20	22	PM Custom	er No. 162		
Request Type: <u>New Development</u>				Enhancemer	nt	Customer Su	ıpport		
Planned System Mainter				ce or Upgrad	de				
IT Team Na	ı me: Public 8	k Environmenta	l Services	IT Team	No : 5				
Project Ma	nager/Leade	r: Scott Kaiser							
Account Number:	84300	EHealth I	Program		Customer Name:	Health			
Grant Fund	led?	<u>Yes</u> No		Vlandate?	Yes	<u>No</u>			

Project Goal

To configure and implement the foods licensing and inspection program in Accela so that the Sanitarians can perform and track their inspections in a consolidated system for all Environmental Health activities.

Business Objective

Partner with an authorized Accela implementer to replicate and enhance current business processes for the foods team. Configure Accela for food licensing and inspection, migrate legacy E-Health data, train staff, test, and utilize the system. Retire the legacy Environmental Health application.

Major Deliverables

- Create and execute an RFP
- Detailed Project Plan
- Application and/or System Requirements
- Technical Architecture Diagram
- User Acceptance Test Plan
- Implementation Plan
- Training/User Manual(s)
- Disaster Recovery Toolkit
- Service Center Knowledge Documents

Approach

- Write the RFP
- Review RFP responses
- Request and attend demonstrations
- Select a vendor/implementor
- Perform contract negotiations
- Develop Detailed Project Plan

Project Name: Accela Foods Implementation Project ID: D52162Al

- Review current business process and conduct needs assessment with customer
- Document system requirements
- Determine and document system architecture and diagram
- Conduct Tech Review
- Develop Implementation Plan
- Configure new system
- Develop User Acceptance Test Plan
- Test new system
- Acquire User Acceptance Sign off
- Conduct Change Control
- Develop User Documentation, Disaster Recovery Toolkit, Service Center Knowledge Documents
- Train users on new system
- Release new system into production

Research & Analysis

IT Research & Advisory Services Recommendation – Research conducted, nothing found

Benefits

See Return on Investment (ROI) Analysis Document

Impact

Number of Users Health Division staff, restaurant owners, and the general public

Divisions Health Division

Leadership Groups Land Leadership Group

Risk

Business Environment High – Solution could dramatically change existing business

processes

Technical Environment *Medium* – previously implemented technologies, new

requirements.

Project Name: Accela Foods Implementation Project ID: D52162Al

Assumptions

Staffing IT Staffing: resources will be available for the hours indicated per the attached

project plan.

Other Staffing: additional staffing will be available as follows:

Role: Name Hours per Day

Project Sponsor: Sara Stoddard As needed

Facilities

•

Technical

•

Funding

Grant Funded

Other

 The State of Michigan Department of Agriculture has successfully implemented Accela for Food Inspections.

Priority TBD

Constraints

•

Exclusions

GIS and CAMS integration and development is not in scope

Project Name: Accela Foods Implementation Project ID: D52162Al

PROJECT PHASE AUTHORIZATION

Phase(s): ALL				
Total Estimated Application Services		Hours:	2,573	
Total Estimated Technical Systems		Hours:	54	
Total Estimated CLEMIS		Hours:		
Total Estimated Internal Services		Hours:		
IT Application Services Division Manager Appro	oval:			Date:
IT Technical Systems Division Manager Approve	al:			Date:
IT CLEMIS Division Manager Approval:				Date:
IT Internal Services Division Manager Approval:	1			Date:
IT Management Approval:				
Approved:	Yes		No	Date:
Reason:				
Project Sponsor Approval:				
Title:				Date:

PROJECT SUMMARY

Authorized Development (see above)	Hours: 2,627	
Preliminary Estimated Development for Future Phases	Hours:	
Crowd Total Fatimated Davids manual	Harris 2 027	Cart. \$422.455
Grand Total Estimated Development	Hours: 2,627	Cost: \$433,455

Project Name: Accela Foods Implementation Project ID: D52162Al

PROJECT COMPLETION AUTHORIZATION

Customer Acceptance of Product:	
Title:	Date:
Project Office Review:	Date:

Accela Foods Implementation - Size Estimate (+/- 10% to 50%)

Type	ID	Task Name	Estimated
			Hours
1 Phase	000000	■ PROJECT MANAGEMENT	754
2 Phase	100000	■ DEVELOP RFP & SELECT VENDOR	249
3 Phase	200000	■ DEFINE BUSINESS REQUIREMENTS	193
4 Phase	300000	■ DESIGN SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE	19
5 Phase	400000	■ IMPLEMENT VENDOR APPLICATION	1,093
6 Phase	500000	■ IMPLEMENTATION PHASE	216
7 Phase	600000	■ POST IMPLEMENTATION SUPPORT	103
8			
1			2,627

Return on Investment Analysis

Project Summary

Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Total
Benefits/Savings:							
Tangible Benefits Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost Avoidance Subtotal:	149,085	157,242	152,082	160,402	155,138	163,626	937,576
Costs:							
Development Services Subtotal:	733,455	33,330	26,931	34,000	27,472	34,683	889,871
Hardware Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Software Subtotal:	76,740	77,507	78,282	79,065	79,856	80,655	472,106
Infrastructure Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Training Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Statistics:							
Annual Total Savings	149,085	157,242	152,082	160,402	155,138	163,626	937,576
Annual Total Costs	810,195	110,837	105,213	113,065	107,328	115,338	1,361,976
Annual Return on Investment	(661,110)	46,404	46,868	47,337	47,811	48,289	(424,401)
Annual Costs/Savings Ratio	543.45%	70.49%	69.18%	70.49%	69.18%	70.49%	(121,101)
Project Cumulative Statistics:							
Cumulative Total Savings	149,085	306,327	458,408	618,811	773,949	937,576	937,576
Cumulative Total Costs	810,195	921,032	1,026,246	1,139,311	1,246,639	1,361,976	1,361,976
Cumulative Return on Investment	(661,110)	(614,706)	(567,837)	(520,500)	(472,689)	(424,401)	(424,401)
Cumulative Cost/Savings Ratio	543.45%	300.67%	223.87%	184.11%	161.07%	145.27%	145.27%
Year Positive Payback Achieved							NO PAYBACK
State or Federal Mandate?							NOTATBACK
Signatures:							
Benefits Reviewed By Project Sponsor				_ Date: _			
Costs (including IT Resources) Reviewed By Information Technology Project Manager				Date:			

Oakland County -- Accela Foods Implementation Return on Investment Analysis

Savings Detail

	Project Savings		Unit		Rate per		Annual
Benefit/Savings Description	Category	Budget Category/Funding Source	Desc	Units	Unit	Total Savings	Multiplier
Reduced IT effort to change, update,							
and support the current E-Health.	Cost Avoidance		HR	377	165	62,205	1.01
Reduced IT system maintenance for							
the existing E-Health.	Cost Avoidance		HR	112	165	18,480	1.01
Reduced clerical staff time to answer							
phone calls regarding permit status and							
process due to lack of a public self							
service portal in the current E-Health							
system.	Cost Avoidance		HR	300	28	8,400	1.01
Use of Accela implementer to configure							
the system versus Health resources							
would be more efficient to ensure a							
thorough and comprehensive							
implementation.	Cost Avoidance		HR	960	35	33,600	1.01
Time spent by IT for system							
maintenance.	Cost Avoidance		HR	40	165	6,600	1.01
Time spent by IT for customer support.	Cost Avoidance		HR	120	165	19,800	1.01
Time spent by IT for planned							
maintenance.	Cost Avoidance		HR	40	165	6,600	1.01
Improved user experience using one							
system to review complaints from							
land/water and foods through Accela.	Intangible Benefit					0	
Simplified access to complete reports							
from one system for all EH services.	Intangible Benefit					0	
Increased citizen engagement with							
ability to apply, track, and report issues							
using the Accela Citizen Access							
application of for all EH needs.	Intangible Benefit					0	
Streamline support and contract							
management with Accela as sole							
vendor of comprehensive system	Intangible Benefit					0	
						0	
						0	

Return on Investment Analysis

Savings Detail

		Affe	ects	Pro	ject	RO	l?		Po	tential Savir	ngs Extensio	ons	
	Project Savings		İ		Ĭ		Ĭ				i I		
Benefit/Savings Description	Category	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6
Reduced IT effort to change, update,			į										
and support the current E-Health.	Cost Avoidance	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	62,205.00	62,827.05	63,455.32	64,089.87	64,730.77	65,378
Reduced IT system maintenance for			ŀ		İ	İ	İ						
the existing E-Health.	Cost Avoidance	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	18,480.00	18,664.80	18,851.45	19,039.96	19,230.36	19,423
Reduced clerical staff time to answer			ļ			•					! ! !		
phone calls regarding permit status and			į										
process due to lack of a public self			į		İ	į	İ						
service portal in the current E-Health			ĺ		İ	İ	İ						
system.	Cost Avoidance	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	8,400.00	8,484.00	8,568.84	8,654.53	8,741.07	8,828
Use of Accela implementer to configure			ļ								 		
the system versus Health resources			ŀ		•	•	•				! ! !		
would be more efficient to ensure a			ļ			•					! ! !		
thorough and comprehensive			į		į	į	į						
implementation.	Cost Avoidance	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	х	33,600.00	33,936.00	34,275.36	34,618.11	34,964.29	35,314
Time spent by IT for system			i										
maintenance.	Cost Avoidance	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	6,600.00	6,666.00	6,732.66	6,799.99	6,867.99	6,937
			ļ			•					! ! !		
Time spent by IT for customer support.	Cost Avoidance	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	19,800.00	19,998.00	20,197.98	20,399.96	20,603.96	20,810
Time spent by IT for planned			į		İ	İ	İ				i !		
maintenance.	Cost Avoidance		Х		Х		Х		6,666.00		6,799.99		6,937
<u>.</u>			ŀ		•		•				 		
Improved user experience using one			į										
system to review complaints from			į		İ	İ	İ						
land/water and foods through Accela.	Intangible Benefit		<u> </u>		į		į						
Simplified access to complete reports			ŀ		İ	İ	İ						
from one system for all EH services.	Intangible Benefit		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>] 		
l			į										
Increased citizen engagement with			į			İ					! !		
ability to apply, track, and report issues			į		į	į	į				i !		
using the Accela Citizen Access			ĺ		İ	İ	İ						
	Intangible Benefit	1			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		
Streamline support and contract													
management with Accela as sole			ļ										
vendor of comprehensive system	Intangible Benefit		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				i -		
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				i 		
			<u> </u>			<u> </u>					i !	į !	

Date: 05/23/2022

Return on Investment Analysis

Savings Summary

Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	62,205 18,480 8,400 33,600 6,600	62,827 18,665 8,484 33,936 6,666	63,455 18,851 8,569 34,275 6,733	64,090 19,040 8,655 34,618 6,800	64,731 19,230 8,741 34,964 6,868	65,378 19,423 8,828	382,686 113,689 51,677 206,708
Cost Avoidance: Reduced IT effort to change, update, and support the current E-Health. Reduced IT system maintenance for the existing E-Health. Reduced clerical staff time to answer phone calls regarding permit status and process due to lack of a public self service portal in the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	18,480 8,400 33,600 6,600	18,665 8,484 33,936 6,666	18,851 8,569 34,275	19,040 8,655 34,618	19,230 8,741 34,964	19,423 8,828 35,314	113,689 51,677
Cost Avoidance: Reduced IT effort to change, update, and support the current E-Health. Reduced IT system maintenance for the existing E-Health. Reduced clerical staff time to answer phone calls regarding permit status and process due to lack of a public self service portal in the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	18,480 8,400 33,600 6,600	18,665 8,484 33,936 6,666	18,851 8,569 34,275	19,040 8,655 34,618	19,230 8,741 34,964	19,423 8,828 35,314	113,689 51,677
Cost Avoidance: Reduced IT effort to change, update, and support the current E-Health. Reduced IT system maintenance for the existing E-Health. Reduced clerical staff time to answer phone calls regarding permit status and process due to lack of a public self service portal in the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	18,480 8,400 33,600 6,600	18,665 8,484 33,936 6,666	18,851 8,569 34,275	19,040 8,655 34,618	19,230 8,741 34,964	19,423 8,828 35,314	113,689 51,677
Cost Avoidance: Reduced IT effort to change, update, and support the current E-Health. Reduced IT system maintenance for the existing E-Health. Reduced clerical staff time to answer phone calls regarding permit status and process due to lack of a public self service portal in the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	18,480 8,400 33,600 6,600	18,665 8,484 33,936 6,666	18,851 8,569 34,275	19,040 8,655 34,618	19,230 8,741 34,964	19,423 8,828 35,314	113,689 51,677
Reduced IT effort to change, update, and support the current E-Health. Reduced IT system maintenance for the existing E-Health. Reduced clerical staff time to answer phone calls regarding permit status and process due to lack of a public self service portal in the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	18,480 8,400 33,600 6,600	18,665 8,484 33,936 6,666	18,851 8,569 34,275	19,040 8,655 34,618	19,230 8,741 34,964	19,423 8,828 35,314	113,689 51,677
Reduced IT effort to change, update, and support the current E-Health. Reduced IT system maintenance for the existing E-Health. Reduced clerical staff time to answer phone calls regarding permit status and process due to lack of a public self service portal in the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	18,480 8,400 33,600 6,600	18,665 8,484 33,936 6,666	18,851 8,569 34,275	19,040 8,655 34,618	19,230 8,741 34,964	19,423 8,828 35,314	113,689 51,677
support the current E-Health. Reduced IT system maintenance for the existing E-Health. Reduced clerical staff time to answer phone calls regarding permit status and process due to lack of a public self service portal in the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	18,480 8,400 33,600 6,600	18,665 8,484 33,936 6,666	18,851 8,569 34,275	19,040 8,655 34,618	19,230 8,741 34,964	19,423 8,828 35,314	113,689 51,677
Reduced IT system maintenance for the existing E-Health. Reduced clerical staff time to answer phone calls regarding permit status and process due to lack of a public self service portal in the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	18,480 8,400 33,600 6,600	18,665 8,484 33,936 6,666	18,851 8,569 34,275	19,040 8,655 34,618	19,230 8,741 34,964	19,423 8,828 35,314	113,689 51,677
existing E-Health. Reduced clerical staff time to answer phone calls regarding permit status and process due to lack of a public self service portal in the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	8,400 33,600 6,600	8,484 33,936 6,666	8,569	8,655 34,618	8,741	8,828 35,314	51,677
Reduced clerical staff time to answer phone calls regarding permit status and process due to lack of a public self service portal in the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	8,400 33,600 6,600	8,484 33,936 6,666	8,569	8,655 34,618	8,741	8,828 35,314	51,677
calls regarding permit status and process due to lack of a public self service portal in the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	33,600 6,600	33,936 6,666	34,275	34,618	34,964	35,314	
due to lack of a public self service portal in the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	33,600 6,600	33,936 6,666	34,275	34,618	34,964	35,314	
the current E-Health system. Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	33,600 6,600	33,936 6,666	34,275	34,618	34,964	35,314	
Use of Accela implementer to configure the system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	33,600 6,600	33,936 6,666	34,275	34,618	34,964	35,314	
system versus Health resources would be more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	6,600	6,666					206,708
more efficient to ensure a thorough and comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	6,600	6,666					206,708
comprehensive implementation. Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	6,600	6,666					206,708
Time spent by IT for system maintenance. Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	6,600	6,666					206,708
Time spent by IT for customer support. Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	-,	-,	6,733	6 800	6 969		
Time spent by IT for planned maintenance. Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	10 000			-,	-,	6,937	40,603
Cost Avoidance Subtotal: Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	19,800	19,998	20,198	20,400	20,604	20,810	121,810
Intangible Benefit: Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from		6,666		6,800		6,937	20,403
Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from	149,085	157,242	152,082	160,402	155,138	163,626	937,576
Improved user experience using one system to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from							
to review complaints from land/water and foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from							
foods through Accela. Simplified access to complete reports from							
Simplified access to complete reports from							
one system for all EH services.							
Increased citizen engagement with ability to							
apply, track, and report issues using the							
Accela Citizen Access application of for all							
EH needs.							
Streamline support and contract							
management with Accela as sole vendor of							
comprehensive system							
Savings Total:			152.082	160.402	155,138	163,626	937,576

Return on Investment Analysis

Cost Detail

								Affe	ects	Proj	ect	ROI	?
	Project Cost	Budget Category/Funding	Unit		Rate per		Annual						
Cost Description	Category	Source	Desc	Units	Unit	Total Cost	Multiplier	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6
IT Hours - New Development	Development Svcs		HR	2,627	165	433,455	1.01	Х					\equiv
IT Hours - System Maintenance	Development Svcs		HR	40	165	6,600	1.01		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
IT Hours - Customer Support	Development Svcs		HR	120	165	19,800	1.01		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
IT Hours - Planned Maintenance	Development Svcs		HR	40	165	6,600	1.01		Х		Х		Х
User Hours - New Development	Development Svcs					0							
User Hours - PTNE/OT	Development Svcs					0					.		
Contractor Professional Services	Development Svcs		EA	1	300,000	300,000	1.000	Х			, ;		
PC System - Acquisition	Hardware				687	0							
PC System - Maintenance	Hardware				2,936	0						Ī	
Notebook - Acquisition	Hardware				1,115	0					Ţ		
Notebook - Maintenance	Hardware				3,024	0							
Tablet Notebook - Acquisition	Hardware				1,421	0							
Tablet Notebook - Maintenance	Hardware				2,800	0					.		
Laserprinter - Acquisition	Hardware				1,432	0					, ;		
Laserprinter - Maintenance	Hardware				1,408	0							
PC Maintenance User Owned	Hardware				2,720	0							
Printer Maintenance User Owned	Hardware				1,264	0							
File Space (100GB)	Hardware				23	0							
Package Software - Acquisition	Software		ANN	1	76,740	76,740	1.01	Х					
Package Software - Maintenance	Software		ANN	1	76,740	76,740	1.01		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Business Objects Access	Software					0							
Term Emulation SFTW-Acquisition	Software					0							
Term Emulation SFTW-Maintenance	Software					0							
Server - Acquisition/Upgrade	Infrastructure				8,000	0					, ;	. !	
Server - Maintenance	Infrastructure				360	0							
Server Sftwre - Acquisition/Upgrade	Infrastructure				335	0							
Server Sftwre - Maintenance	Infrastructure		EA			0							
Server Rack Mount	Infrastructure				400	0					į		
Oracle Enterprise Per Processor -													
Includes Year 1 Maintenance	Infrastructure				21,372	0					. !	. !	
Oracle Enterprise Per Processor - Year											Î		
2 and Beyond	Infrastructure				3,432	0						[l

Return on Investment Analysis

Cost Detail

								Affe	ects	Proj	ect l	ROI	?
	Project Cost	Budget Category/Funding	Unit		Rate per		Annual			ĺ	ĺ		
Cost Description	Category	Source	Desc	Units	Unit	Total Cost	Multiplier	Y1	Y2	Y 3	Y4	Y5	Y6
SQL Server Enterprise - Per Processor											Ī	Ī	
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2016-Aug											į	ŀ	
2017 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug											Ì	ĺ	
2019	Infrastructure				24,533	0					- 1		
SQL Server Enterprise - Per Processor											Ī		
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2017-Aug											- 1	ĺ	
2018 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug											į	į	
2019	Infrastructure				20,759	0					į	į	
SQL Server Enterprise - Per Processor											i	Ī	
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2018-Aug											į	į	
2019 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug											į	į	
2019	Infrastructure				16,985	0				i	į	į	
SQL Server Enterprise - Maintenance,											Ī		
Per Processor (4 cores) - Sept 2019											į	į	
and Beyond	Infrastructure				4,218	0					į	į	
SQL Server Standard - Per Processor											Ī		
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2016-Aug											į	į	
2017 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug											į	į	
2019	Infrastructure				6,398	0				i	į	į	
SQL Server Standard - Per Processor											Ī		
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2017-Aug											ļ	ļ	
2018 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug											i	į	
2019	Infrastructure				5,414	0					į	į	
SQL Server Standard - Per Processor										Î	Î		
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2018-Aug										l	ŀ	į	
2019 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug											į	į	
	Infrastructure				4,429	0					Ì	ĺ	
SQL Server - Standard Maintenance,											i		
Per Processor (4 cores) - Sept 2019											į	į	
and Beyond	Infrastructure				1,100	0				İ	į	į	
Websphere Basic Per Processor										Ì	Î		
Single/Dual Core - Includes Year 1										l	ļ	ļ	
Maintenance	Infrastructure				3,506	0					!		

Return on Investment Analysis

Cost Detail

								Affe	ects	Proj	ect	ROI'	?
	Project Cost	Budget Category/Funding	Unit		Rate per		Annual						
Cost Description	Category	Source	Desc	Units	Unit	Total Cost	Multiplier	Y1	Y2	Y 3	Y4	Y5	Y6
Websphere Basic Per Processor											- 1	- 1	
	Infrastructure				701	0					į	į	
Websphere ND Per Processor											i		
Single/Dual Core - Includes Year 1										İ	ı	į	
Maintenance	Infrastructure				13,180	0						i	
Websphere ND Per Processor											į	į	
•	Infrastructure				2,635	0					į	į	
SSL Certificate	Infrastructure				845	0							
	Infrastructure				180	0							
Imperva Web Application Firewall	iiiiasiiuciuie				100	0					- 1	\dashv	
	Infrastructure		ANN		500	0					1		
App Code Directories on Consolidated	imastructure		AININ		300	0					i	\dashv	
	Infrastructure		ANN		415	0					į	į	
Database (5 GB) on Consolidated SQL	imastructure		AININ		710	0						\dashv	
` ,	Infrastructure		ANN		930	0					Î	İ	
Database Instance (125 GB DB) on	i i i i dotta dotta i o		,		000	- J							
` ,	Infrastructure		ANN		2,395	0					į	į	
Database SQL Maint Server	Infrastructure		ANN		834	0				l	i		
Database SQL Server Physical	Infrastructure		ANN		19,158	0							
DB Maintenance (Annual Cycle \$610)	Infrastructure		ANN		610	0				i	ı		
DB Maintenance (Semi-Annual Cycle											- 1		
\$1220)	Infrastructure		ANN		1,220	0					1		
DB Maintenance (Semi-Annual Cycle										i	į	i	
\$2440)	Infrastructure		ANN		2,440	0					į		
Dedicated Virtual Server	Infrastructure		ANN		4,150	0					Ī		
DB Instance Setup	Infrastructure				976	0				i	Ī		
DBA MS SQL Database Creation on										l	i		
Exisitng Instance	Infrastructure				366	0					į		
											Î		
Extra Small - 2 Core 8GB RAM, 500GB											ŀ	į	
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual = \$601											į		
On Premise Physical Server = N/A	Infrastructure		ANN			0					į	i	

Return on Investment Analysis

Cost Detail

								Affe	ects	Proj	ect l	ROI?	,
	Project Cost	Budget Category/Funding	Unit		Rate per		Annual			į	İ	İ	
Cost Description	Category	Source	Desc	Units	Unit	Total Cost	Multiplier	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y 6
Small - 4 Core 16GB RAM, 500GB													
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual = \$951 On Premise Physical Server = \$9,288	Infrastructure		ANN			0					į		
Medium - 8 Core 32GB RAM, 500GB										i	ı	İ	
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual =										į	- [İ	
\$1,702 On Premise Physical Server =										į	į	į	
\$9,751	Infrastructure		ANN			0				į	į	į	
Large - 16 Core 64GB RAM, 500GB										I			
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual =										į	į	į	
\$3,167 On Premise Physical Server =										į	į	į	
\$10,446	Infrastructure		ANN			0				į	į	į	
Extra Large - 40 Core 160GB RAM,										į	į	i	
500GB Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual										i	į	į	
= \$7,564 On Premise Physical Server =										į	į	į	
\$12,906	Infrastructure		ANN			0				į	- 1	į	

Return on Investment Analysis

Cost Detail

		Potential Cost Extensions								
	Project Cost									
Cost Description	Category	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6			
IT Hours - New Development	Development Svcs	433,455.00								
IT Hours - System Maintenance	Development Svcs		6,666.00	6,732.66	6,799.99	6,867.99	6,936.67			
IT Hours - Customer Support	Development Svcs		19,998.00	20,197.98	20,399.96	20,603.96	20,810.00			
IT Hours - Planned Maintenance	Development Svcs		6,666.00		6,799.99		6,936.67			
User Hours - New Development	Development Svcs									
User Hours - PTNE/OT	Development Svcs									
Contractor Professional Services	Development Svcs	300,000.00					1 1 1			
PC System - Acquisition	Hardware									
PC System - Maintenance	Hardware									
Notebook - Acquisition	Hardware									
Notebook - Maintenance	Hardware									
Tablet Notebook - Acquisition	Hardware									
Tablet Notebook - Maintenance	Hardware									
Laserprinter - Acquisition	Hardware						1 1 1			
Laserprinter - Maintenance	Hardware									
PC Maintenance User Owned	Hardware									
Printer Maintenance User Owned	Hardware									
File Space (100GB)	Hardware									
Package Software - Acquisition	Software	76,740.00								
Package Software - Maintenance	Software		77,507.40	78,282.47	79,065.30	79,855.95	80,654.51			
Business Objects Access	Software									
Term Emulation SFTW-Acquisition	Software									
Term Emulation SFTW-Maintenance	Software									
Server - Acquisition/Upgrade	Infrastructure						i I I			
Server - Maintenance	Infrastructure									
Server Sftwre - Acquisition/Upgrade	Infrastructure									
Server Sftwre - Maintenance	Infrastructure									
Server Rack Mount	Infrastructure									
Oracle Enterprise Per Processor -										
Includes Year 1 Maintenance	Infrastructure									
Oracle Enterprise Per Processor - Year										
2 and Beyond	Infrastructure									

Return on Investment Analysis

Cost Detail

		Potential Cost Extensions								
	Project Cost			!						
Cost Description	Category	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6			
SQL Server Enterprise - Per Processor			į	İ	į	į				
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2016-Aug				•		1				
2017 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug						}				
2019	Infrastructure									
SQL Server Enterprise - Per Processor				!			! !			
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2017-Aug			į	İ	İ	•				
2018 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug										
2019	Infrastructure					ļ	! ! !			
SQL Server Enterprise - Per Processor			-	!			! !			
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2018-Aug			İ	İ	•					
2019 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug						•	 			
2019	Infrastructure									
SQL Server Enterprise - Maintenance,				:						
Per Processor (4 cores) - Sept 2019										
and Beyond	Infrastructure					1	 			
SQL Server Standard - Per Processor										
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2016-Aug			İ	İ	İ	į				
2017 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug						1	 			
2019	Infrastructure			ļ						
SQL Server Standard - Per Processor				<u> </u>						
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2017-Aug						}				
2018 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug										
2019	Infrastructure			•	į	•				
SQL Server Standard - Per Processor										
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2018-Aug					•					
2019 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug				•			! !			
2019	Infrastructure		İ	İ						
SQL Server - Standard Maintenance,							ī 1 1			
Per Processor (4 cores) - Sept 2019				ļ						
and Beyond	Infrastructure				İ					
Websphere Basic Per Processor					! !					
Single/Dual Core - Includes Year 1			1		•	!				
Maintenance	Infrastructure					•				

Return on Investment Analysis

Cost Detail

		Potential Cost Extensions								
	Project Cost		1			i !	İ			
Cost Description	Category	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6			
			į	į			İ			
Websphere Basic Per Processor				•			•			
Single/Dual Core - Year 2 and Beyond	Infrastructure									
Websphere ND Per Processor			!		f I I	1 1 1				
Single/Dual Core - Includes Year 1										
Maintenance	Infrastructure									
Websphere ND Per Processor										
,	Infrastructure		į.	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			
SSL Certificate	Infrastructure		<u> </u>		! ! !	! ! !	<u> </u>			
Internet Access	Infrastructure									
Imperva Web Application Firewall										
(External Web Applications Only)	Infrastructure		į .							
App Code Directories on Consolidated			-		 	 	•			
IIS Server (Virtual)	Infrastructure									
Database (5 GB) on Consolidated SQL					! !					
Instance Server	Infrastructure		İ							
Database Instance (125 GB DB) on			-		 	 	•			
Consolidated SQL Server	Infrastructure									
Database SQL Maint Server	Infrastructure									
Database SQL Server Physical	Infrastructure									
DB Maintenance (Annual Cycle \$610)	Infrastructure		<u> </u>							
DB Maintenance (Semi-Annual Cycle										
\$1220)	Infrastructure									
DB Maintenance (Semi-Annual Cycle										
\$2440)	Infrastructure		!	! !]] !		! ! !			
Dedicated Virtual Server	Infrastructure									
DB Instance Setup	Infrastructure		!							
DBA MS SQL Database Creation on				•						
Exisitng Instance	Infrastructure						<u> </u>			
Extra Small - 2 Core 8GB RAM, 500GB										
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual = \$601			-	!			!			
On Premise Physical Server = N/A	Infrastructure									
On Fremise Friysical Server – N/A	iiiiiaSiiuCiuiE		<u>i </u>	<u>i </u>	i	i	<u>i </u>			

Return on Investment Analysis

Cost Detail

		Potential Cost Extensions								
Cost Description	Project Cost Category	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6			
Small - 4 Core 16GB RAM, 500GB										
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual = \$951										
On Premise Physical Server = \$9,288	Infrastructure			i !		i !				
Medium - 8 Core 32GB RAM, 500GB										
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual =				i !		i !				
\$1,702 On Premise Physical Server =										
\$9,751	Infrastructure									
Large - 16 Core 64GB RAM, 500GB				 		 				
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual =										
\$3,167 On Premise Physical Server =				 		 				
\$10,446	Infrastructure									
Extra Large - 40 Core 160GB RAM,										
500GB Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual										
= \$7,564 On Premise Physical Server =				 		 				
\$12,906	Infrastructure									

Oakland County -- Accela Foods Implementation Return on Investment Analysis

Date: 05/23/2022

Cost Summary

Cost Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Total
Development Services:							
IT Hours - New Development	433,455						433,455
IT Hours - System Maintenance		6,666	6,733	6,800	6,868	6,937	34,003
IT Hours - Customer Support		19,998	20,198	20,400	20,604	20,810	102,010
IT Hours - Planned Maintenance		6,666		6,800		6,937	20,403
User Hours - New Development							
User Hours - PTNE/OT							
Contractor Professional Services	300,000						300,000
Development Services Subtotal:	733,455	33,330	26,931	34,000	27,472	34,683	889,871
Hardware:							
Hardware Subtotal:							
Software:							
Package Software - Acquisition	76,740						76,740
Package Software - Maintenance		77,507	78,282	79,065	79,856	80,655	395,366
Software Subtotal:	76,740	77,507	78,282	79,065	79,856	80,655	472,106
Infrastructure:							
Infrastructure Subtotal							
Training:							
Training Subtotal:							
Other:							
Other Subtotal:							
Costs Total:	810,195	110,837	105,213	113,065	107,328	115,338	1,361,976

Oakland County -- Accela Foods Implementation Return on Investment Analysis

Assumptions

Date	Assumption Description
19-Feb-20	IT support and maintenance savings estimates from actuals posted 10/1/18-9/30/19 for fiscal year 2019.
	Cost Savings for implementation are based on health resources participating in project but not configuring application. After further
	understanding of the applications configuration complexity, it was determined an implementer was a more efficient route for deploying Foods
05-Mar-20	
08-Jun-20	No new software will be purchased. The additional software costs will be affiliated with increased maintenance for the new users.
	Based the contracted services fee on the current land and water implementation. Although no mapping need, the food program permitting
26-Jun-20	process is more complex.
	Data conversion will be partially performed by OCIT to migrate EH legacy data to Accela staging tables. The implementation vendor will
	validate the data and ensure it is migrated from the staging tables to the Accela cloud.
	We hope to have an export/batch file processed from Accela to allow a data integration with the foods inspections results app.
	Documents attached to the permit/inspection records will be stored in the Accela cloud as part of our app licensing.
02-Jul-20	Accela will provide SOC2 Reports as needed to reduce the need to future security scans. A signed NDA is on file for the reports.
02-Jul-20	Existing EH data is to be converted and migrated into Accela. IT may retain the existing EH Oracle db for supplemental historical reference.
	The hours estimated for IT effort include time for data conversion. This includes the scripting necessary to migrate the existing EH Oracle
	data into the Accela staging database.
18-May-22	The vendor RFP phase could kick off in Oct 2022 with a complete contract by winter 2023
	30 additional seats of Accela would be added to the existing support and maintenance contract to accommodate the Foods sanitarians.
18-May-22	\$2553/lic/yr