Project Name: Data Analysis Strategy & Implementation Project ID: DH9181DA

Leadership Group: Inter	nal Services		1							
Department: Information Technology Division: Internal Services										
Project Sponsor: Phil Bertolini Date Requested: 6/12/2018 PM Customer No. 181										
Request Type: New Development X Enhancement Customer Support										
Planned System Maintenance or Upgrade										
IT Team Name: Internal S	Services		IT Team No: H							
Project Manager/Leader	: TBD									
Account       Customer         Number:       17010         Description:       Internal Services         Name:       Internal Services										
Grant Funded?	Yes No X		Mandate? Yes Mandate Source:		No X					

## **Project Goal**

To support progressive, centralized data analysis so that Oakland County data can be efficiently analyzed, integrated, combined and shared.

# **Business Objectives**

To transform both spatial and non-spatial data into information that can be used to make better informed decisions.

To identify opportunities to unlock data from IT systems and strategically share with additional customers, both internal and external.

#### **Major Deliverables**

- Data inventories
- Metadata standards
- Data Reporting standards
- Data analysis process documentation and menu of analysis services and derived products (such as dashboards, reports, maps, etc.)
- Training and/or marketing materials

#### **Approach**

- Provide statistical and/or spatial data analysis as a service on County projects
- Identify and document improved methods for collecting, using, and managing existing data
- Identify, document, and enforce metadata standards
- Attend Architecture and/or Tech Review to evaluate data that will be part of new projects to identify opportunities for integration, prevent data duplication, and

Project Name: Data Analysis Strategy & Implementation Project ID: DH9181DA

- prevent (as much as possible) investment in systems in which data will remain siloed, preventing further integrations/analysis
- Review existing systems to identify data integration opportunities either with other Oakland County data, or with external data sources (e.g., demographic information, data from other agencies, etc.)
- Attend customer status meetings and IT Road Shows to better understand customer business workflows and data questions/analysis opportunities
- Create training and/or marketing materials, as needed, to educate staff on data analysis services

## Research & Analysis

#### **Gartner Research Recommendation**

Gartner has numerous articles supporting strategic data analysis, including multiple articles supporting the creation of a Chief Data Officer (CDO) position to lead these efforts.

The responsibilities of a CDO, according to the article "Making the Case for a Chief Data Officer" (Brian Hellauer, October 31, 2016; p. 2) are as follows:

- Develops data quality, including monitoring systems and processes. Controls master data and metadata management policies, controls and standards. Oversees the Data Governance Council and the Data Management Committee.
- Ensures that business practices maintain data system integration and reporting standards. Works with business units to define data elements and reporting standards.
- Develops methods for the use of business intelligence and analytics for decisionmaking and strategic planning.
- Oversees the design, integration and staging of data warehouses and data marts.
   Identifies emerging methods and technologies related to data management and analysis.
- Creates policies and controls to protect enterprise information assets through a defined life cycle. Ensures audit controls for data that is a source in regulatory reports.

This Strategy and Implementation project would provide hours for these very efforts for a CDO, or similar position at Oakland County, to lead.

# **Benefits**

See Return on Investment (ROI) Analysis Document

# <u>Impact</u>

Project Name: Data Analysis Strategy & Implementation Project ID: DH9181DA

Number of Users Unlimited (County staff, CVT staff, the public)

**Divisions** All

Leadership Groups All

Project Name: Data Analysis Strategy & Implementation Project ID: DH9181DA

## **Risk**

**Business Environment** Medium - Project will require some changes to existing business processes.

**Technical Environment** Medium – Previously implemented technologies with new aspects and/or new requirements.

# **Assumptions**

**Staffing** 

IT Staffing: resources will be available for the hours indicated per the attached project plan.

Other Staffing: additional staffing will be available as follows:

Role: Name Hours per Day

Project Sponsor: Phil Bertolini As needed.

#### **Facilities**

None at this time

#### **Technical**

None at this time

#### **Funding**

Information Technology

#### Other

None at this time

#### **Priority**

• TBD

## Constraints

None at this time

## **Exclusions**

•

Project Name: Data Analysis Strategy & Implementation Project ID: DH9181DA

## PROJECT PHASE AUTHORIZATION

Phase(s): All			
Total Estimated Application Services	Hours: 1	125	
Total Estimated Technical Systems	Hours: 2	200	
Total Estimated CLEMIS	Hours:	100	
Total Estimated Internal Services	Hours:	75	
IT Application Services Division Manager Appro	val:		Date:
IT Technical Systems Division Manager Approva	l:		Date:
IT CLEMIS Division Manager Approval:			Date:
IT Internal Services Division Manager Approval:			Date:
IT Management Approval:			
Approved:	Yes	No	Date:
Reason:			
Project Sponsor Approval:			
Title:			Date:

## PROJECT SUMMARY

Authorized Development (see above)	Hours:	500	
Preliminary Estimated Development for Future Phases	Hours:		
Grand Total Estimated Development	Hours:	500	Cost: \$82,500

Project Name: Data Analysis Strategy & Implementation Project ID: DH9181DA

#### PROJECT COMPLETION AUTHORIZATION

Customer Acceptance of Product:	
Title:	Date:
Project Office Review:	Date:

Data Analysis Strategy & Implementation - Size Estimate (+/- 10% to 50%)

_1	Type	ID	Task Name	Estimated	Estimate Notes
2				Hours	
3		000000	DATA ANALYSIS STRATEGY & IMPLEMENTAT	500	
4				500	

## **Project Summary**

Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Total
Benefits/Savings:							
Tangible Benefits Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost Avoidance Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Costs:							
Development Services Subtotal:	41,250	42,075	0	0	0	0	83,325
Hardware Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Software Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Infrastructure Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Training Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Statistics:							
Annual Total Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Total Costs	41,250	42,075	0	0	0	0	83,325
Annual Return on Investment	(41,250)	(42,075)					(83,325)
Annual Costs/Savings Ratio	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Project Cumulative Statistics:							
Cumulative Total Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative Total Costs	41,250	83,325	83,325	83,325	83,325	83,325	83,325
Cumulative Return on Investment	(41,250)	(83,325)	(83,325)	(83,325)	(83,325)	(83,325)	(83,325)
Cumulative Cost/Savings Ratio	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Cultidiative Cost/Saviligs Ratio	0.0076	0.00%	0.0076	0.00%	0.00%	0.0076	0.00%
Year Positive Payback Achieved							NO PAYBACK
State or Federal Mandate?							
Signatures:							
Ĭ							
Benefits Reviewed By Project Sponsor				Date:			
Costs (including IT Resources) Reviewed By				Det			
Information Technology Project Manager				Date:			

# **Data Analysis Strategy & Implementation**

Return on Investment Analysis

## Savings Detail

	Project Savings	Budget Category/ Funding	Unit		Rate per		Annual
Benefit/Savings Description	Category	Source	Desc	Units	Unit	<b>Total Savings</b>	Multiplier
Analyzing Oakland County spatial and							
non-spatial data further leverages our							
current investment in data by exposing							
patterns and predicting future trends.	Intangible Benefit						
More informed decisions can be made							
when data is analysis/summarized vs							
remaining locked in County source							
systems.	Intangible Benefit						
Providing and marketing a menu of							
analysis services makes them more							
likely to be understood and used.	Intangible Benefit						
Metadata standards that are enforced							
improves trust and understanding, and							
thus use, of County data.	Intangible Benefit						
Providing data reporting standards							
decreases the time individual teams							
spend researching solutions and							
prevents multiple similar solutions from							
being implemented.	Intangible Benefit						
Review of projects from a data							
perspective during the Tech Review							
phase reduces the change for data							
duplication and helps identify potential							
integration points and future data							
sharing opportunities.	Intangible Benefit						
Providing data analysis as a service to							
County projects prevents the need to							
train statistic experts in each							
department.	Intangible Benefit						

# **Data Analysis Strategy & Implementation**

Return on Investment Analysis

Savings Detail

		Affects Project ROI?						Poter	itial Savir	ngs Exter	nsions		
	Project Savings			Ĭ	Ĭ	Ĭ 				Ĭ		Ĭ	
Benefit/Savings Description	Category	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6
Analyzing Oakland County spatial and				İ	i	į	į						
non-spatial data further leverages our				ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ			į		į	
current investment in data by exposing				ĺ	Ì	ŀ	ŀ			İ		İ	
patterns and predicting future trends.	Intangible Benefit				į	ļ	ļ			•		•	
More informed decisions can be made				Ï	!	ľ	•						
when data is analysis/summarized vs				İ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ			•		•	
remaining locked in County source					į	ļ	ļ						
systems.	Intangible Benefit			İ	į	į	į						
Providing and marketing a menu of				İ	İ	į	į			•		•	
analysis services makes them more				ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ			į		į	
likely to be understood and used.	Intangible Benefit			ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ						
Metadata standards that are enforced	_			•	İ	ļ	ļ						
improves trust and understanding, and						ļ	ļ			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
thus use, of County data.	Intangible Benefit			į		į	į						
Providing data reporting standards				İ	Ì	İ	İ			İ		İ	
decreases the time individual teams				ĺ	Ì	ŀ	ŀ			İ		İ	
spend researching solutions and					į	ļ	ļ			•		•	
prevents multiple similar solutions from						ļ	ļ			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
being implemented.	Intangible Benefit			į		į	į						
Review of projects from a data				İ	İ	į	į						
perspective during the Tech Review				İ	į								
phase reduces the change for data				ĺ	Ì	ŀ	ŀ			İ		İ	
duplication and helps identify potential				İ	l	į	į			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
integration points and future data				ļ	į	į	į						
sharing opportunities.	Intangible Benefit				į	į	į			İ		İ	
Providing data analysis as a service to				Ī	Ì					İ		İ	
County projects prevents the need to				ĺ	ĺ	İ	İ						
train statistic experts in each					•				<b>:</b>	•		•	
department.	Intangible Benefit					ļ	ļ			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
				İ	İ				İ				

Savings Summary

Tangible Benefits Subtotal:  Cost Avoidance:  Cost Avoidance:  Cost Avoidance Subtotal:  Intangible Benefit:  Analyzing Oakland County spatial and non-spatial data further leverages our current investment in data by exposing patterns and predicting future trends.  More informed decisions can be made when data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems.  Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train statistic experts in each department.	Benefit/Savings Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Total
Cost Avoidance:    Cost Avoidance Subtotal:	Tangible Benefit:							
Cost Avoidance:    Cost Avoidance Subtotal:								
Cost Avoidance:    Cost Avoidance Subtotal:								
Cost Avoidance Subtotal:  Intangible Benefit:  Analyzing Oakland County spatial and non-spatial data further leverages our current investment in data by exposing patterns and predicting future trends.  More informed decisions can be made when data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems.  Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train	Tangible Benefits Subtotal:							
Cost Avoidance Subtotal:  Intangible Benefit:  Analyzing Oakland County spatial and non-spatial data further leverages our current investment in data by exposing patterns and predicting future trends.  More informed decisions can be made when data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems.  Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
Intangible Benefit: Analyzing Oakland County spatial and non-spatial data further leverages our current investment in data by exposing patterns and predicting future trends.  More informed decisions can be made when data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems. Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used. Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data. Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented. Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities. Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train	Cost Avoidance:							
Intangible Benefit: Analyzing Oakland County spatial and non-spatial data further leverages our current investment in data by exposing patterns and predicting future trends.  More informed decisions can be made when data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems. Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used. Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data. Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented. Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities. Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
Intangible Benefit: Analyzing Oakland County spatial and non-spatial data further leverages our current investment in data by exposing patterns and predicting future trends.  More informed decisions can be made when data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems. Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used. Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data. Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented. Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities. Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train	Coat Avaidance Cultistal							
Analyzing Oakland County spatial and non-spatial data further leverages our current investment in data by exposing patterns and predicting future trends.  More informed decisions can be made when data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems.  Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train	Cost Avoidarice Subtotal:							
Analyzing Oakland County spatial and non-spatial data further leverages our current investment in data by exposing patterns and predicting future trends.  More informed decisions can be made when data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems.  Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train	Intangible Benefit							
spatial data further leverages our current investment in data by exposing patterns and predicting future trends.  More informed decisions can be made when data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems.  Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
investment in data by exposing patterns and predicting future trends.  More informed decisions can be made when data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems.  Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
predicting future trends.  More informed decisions can be made when data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems.  Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
More informed decisions can be made when data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems.  Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
data is analysis/summarized vs remaining locked in County source systems.  Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
locked in County source systems.  Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train	More informed decisions can be made when							
Providing and marketing a menu of analysis services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train	data is analysis/summarized vs remaining							
services makes them more likely to be understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
understood and used.  Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
Metadata standards that are enforced improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
improves trust and understanding, and thus use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
use, of County data.  Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
Providing data reporting standards decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
decreases the time individual teams spend researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
researching solutions and prevents multiple similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
similar solutions from being implemented.  Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
Review of projects from a data perspective during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
during the Tech Review phase reduces the change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
change for data duplication and helps identify potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train	during the Tech Review phase reduces the							
potential integration points and future data sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
sharing opportunities.  Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
Providing data analysis as a service to County projects prevents the need to train								
County projects prevents the need to train								
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Savings Total:	Savings Total:							

								Af	fect	s Pro	oiec	t RC	) ?
	Project Cost	Budget Category/Funding	Unit		Rate per		Annual				<u> </u>		
Cost Description	Category	Source	Desc	Units	Unit	Total Cost	Multiplier	<b>Y1</b>	<b>Y2</b>	Y3	<b>Y4</b>	Y5	Y6
IT Hours - New Development	Development Svcs		HR	500	165	82,500	1.020	Х	Х	i	T	Ţ	
IT Hours - System Maintenance	Development Svcs				165	0	1.020						
IT Hours - Customer Support	Development Svcs				165	0	1.020				i	. !	
IT Hours - Planned Maintenance	Development Svcs				165	0	1.020				Ī		
User Hours - New Development	Development Svcs					0						;	
User Hours - PTNE/OT	Development Svcs					0						. !	
Contractor Professional Services	Development Svcs					0							
PC System - Acquisition	Hardware				814	0							
PC System - Maintenance	Hardware				2,304	0							
Notebook - Acquisition	Hardware				1,223	0							
Notebook - Maintenance	Hardware				2,372	0					Ī		
Tablet Notebook - Acquisition	Hardware				2,012	0						. ;	
Tablet Notebook - Maintenance	Hardware					0							
Laserprinter - Acquisition	Hardware				1,432	0							
Laserprinter - Maintenance	Hardware				1,104	0							
Image Workstations - Acquisition	Hardware					0							
Image Workstations - Maintenance	Hardware				3,496	0							
PC Maintenance User Owned	Hardware				2,304	0				Ī	Ī	į	
Printer Maintenance User Owned	Hardware				1,072	0						. ;	
File Space (100GB)	Hardware		ANN		173	0							
Internet Bandwidth per MB	Hardware		ANN		750	0							
Package Software - Acquisition	Software					0				i		Ī	
Package Software - Maintenance	Software					0							
Business Objects Access	Software					0							
Term Emulation SFTW-Acquisition	Software					0				Ī			
Term Emulation SFTW-Maintenance	Software					0				ĺ			
Server - Acquisition/Upgrade	Infrastructure				8,000	0							
Server - Maintenance	Infrastructure				360	0							
Server Sftwre - Acquisition/Upgrade	Infrastructure				335	0						Ī	
Server Sftwre - Maintenance	Infrastructure					0				Ì			
Server Rack Mount	Infrastructure				400	0					Ī	į	
Oracle Enterprise Per Processor -													
Includes Year 1 Maintenance	Infrastructure				21,372	0				i	į	į	
Oracle Enterprise Per Processor - Year										ı			
2 and Beyond	Infrastructure		<u> </u>		3,432	0							

								Af	fects	s Pro	ject	ROI?
	Project Cost	Budget Category/Funding	Unit		Rate per		Annual					
Cost Description	Category	Source	Desc	Units	Unit	Total Cost	Multiplier	Y1	Y2	Y3 `	Y4 `	Y5 Y6
SQL Server Enterprise - Per Processor												
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2016-Aug											į	
2017 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug											- 1	
2019	Infrastructure				24,533	0				i	į	
SQL Server Enterprise - Per Processor										İ		
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2017-Aug											į	
2018 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug											ı	
2019	Infrastructure				20,759	0					Ì	İ
SQL Server Enterprise - Per Processor												
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2018-Aug											į	
2019 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug										i	į	
2019	Infrastructure				16,985	0				i		
SQL Server Enterprise - Maintenance,												
Per Processor (4 cores) - Sept 2019											- 1	
and Beyond	Infrastructure				4,218	0						
SQL Server Standard - Per Processor											į	
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2016-Aug											į	
2017 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug											Ì	İ
2019	Infrastructure				6,398	0						
SQL Server Standard - Per Processor											į	
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2017-Aug											- 1	
2018 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug										ļ	ı	
2019	Infrastructure				5,414	0					į	
SQL Server Standard - Per Processor											- 1	
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2018-Aug										i		
2019 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug												
2019	Infrastructure				4,429	0						
SQL Server - Standard Maintenance,										ĺ	İ	İ
Per Processor (4 cores) - Sept 2019												
and Beyond	Infrastructure				1,100	0					_	
Websphere Basic Per Processor											į	
Single/Dual Core - Includes Year 1												
Maintenance	Infrastructure				3,506	0					<u> </u>	<u> </u>

								Af	fect	s Pro	ojec	t RO	l?
	Project Cost	Budget Category/Funding	Unit		Rate per		Annual				1		
Cost Description	Category	Source	Desc	Units	Unit	Total Cost	Multiplier	Y1	Y2	<b>Y3</b>	Y4	Y5	Y6
Websphere Basic Per Processor													
•	Infrastructure				701	0					ŀ	į	
Websphere ND Per Processor	i i i i dotta dotta i o				701						ij		_
Single/Dual Core - Includes Year 1											į	į	
Maintenance	Infrastructure				13,180	0					į	į	
											Į		
Websphere ND Per Processor											į	į	
· ·	Infrastructure				2,635	0					į	į	
SSL Certificate	Infrastructure				845	0					į	1	
Internet Access	Infrastructure				180	0					į	İ	
Imperva Web Application Firewall										Ì	Î	Î	
(External Web Applications Only)	Infrastructure		ANN		500	0					ŀ	į	
App Code Directories on Consolidated											į	i	
IIS Server (Virtual)	Infrastructure		ANN		415	0					į	l	
Database (5 GB) on Consolidated SQL											į		
	Infrastructure		ANN		930	0					į	İ	
Database Instance (125 GB DB) on											I		
Consolidated SQL Server	Infrastructure		ANN		2,395	0					į		
Database SQL Maint Server	Infrastructure		ANN		834	0							
	Infrastructure		ANN		19,158	0					į		
( - )	Infrastructure		ANN		610	0					j		
DB Maintenance (Semi-Annual Cycle											į	į	
† - /	Infrastructure		ANN		1,220	0					į		
DB Maintenance (Semi-Annual Cycle											į	į	
\$2440)	Infrastructure		ANN		2,440	0					į	į	
Dedicated Virtual Server	Infrastructure		ANN		4,150	0					į		
DB Instance Setup	Infrastructure				976	0					į	i	
DBA MS SQL Database Creation on											į	İ	
Exisitng Instance	Infrastructure				366	0					į	<b>i</b>	
E L O II O O OOD DAN 5000D											ļ	į	
Extra Small - 2 Core 8GB RAM, 500GB											į	į	
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual = \$601	I <b>f .</b>					_					į	į	
On Premise Physical Server = N/A	Infrastructure		ANN			0					į		

								Af	fect	s Pr	oiec	t RC	<b>i</b> ?
Cost Description	Project Cost Category	Budget Category/Funding Source	Unit Desc	Units	Rate per Unit	Total Cost	Annual Multiplier						
Small - 4 Core 16GB RAM, 500GB													
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual = \$951												į	
	Infrastructure		ANN			0						į	
Medium - 8 Core 32GB RAM, 500GB													
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual =													
\$1,702 On Premise Physical Server =												ļ	
¥ - , -	Infrastructure		ANN			0						<u>.</u>	
Large - 16 Core 64GB RAM, 500GB												, <b>j</b>	
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual =													
\$3,167 On Premise Physical Server =						_						į	
• •	Infrastructure		ANN			0						<b></b> i	
Extra Large - 40 Core 160GB RAM,													
500GB Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual												į	
= \$7,564 On Premise Physical Server =	l. f		A N I N I									ļ	
, ,	Infrastructure		ANN			0							
Project Staff Training	Training					0						<b></b>	
User Training	Training					0				-		,— <b>,</b> i	
												i	

			Pote	ential Cost	Extensions		
Cost Description	Project Cost Category	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6
IT Hours - New Development	Development Svcs	41,250.00	42,075.00		!	!	!
IT Hours - System Maintenance	Development Svcs						Ì
IT Hours - Customer Support	Development Svcs					1 1	!
IT Hours - Planned Maintenance	Development Svcs				İ		
User Hours - New Development	Development Svcs		1				1
User Hours - PTNE/OT	Development Svcs	Ì	Ì		Ì	ì I	Ĭ
Contractor Professional Services	Development Svcs						
PC System - Acquisition	Hardware				1		!
PC System - Maintenance	Hardware						Ì
Notebook - Acquisition	Hardware					1 1	!
Notebook - Maintenance	Hardware				İ		
Tablet Notebook - Acquisition	Hardware		1				1
Tablet Notebook - Maintenance	Hardware	i	į		İ	i	i
Laserprinter - Acquisition	Hardware						
Laserprinter - Maintenance	Hardware				į	<u> </u>	!
Image Workstations - Acquisition	Hardware						Ì
Image Workstations - Maintenance	Hardware					1 1	!
PC Maintenance User Owned	Hardware				İ		
Printer Maintenance User Owned	Hardware		1				1
File Space (100GB)	Hardware	i			Ì	i i	Ĭ
Internet Bandwidth per MB	Hardware						
Package Software - Acquisition	Software				İ		
Package Software - Maintenance	Software				Ì		Ì
Business Objects Access	Software				ļ		
Term Emulation SFTW-Acquisition	Software	į			İ	i !	İ
Term Emulation SFTW-Maintenance	Software	į	į		1		1
Server - Acquisition/Upgrade	Infrastructure	İ	į		Ĭ	i i i	Ĭ
Server - Maintenance	Infrastructure						
Server Sftwre - Acquisition/Upgrade	Infrastructure				į		İ
Server Sftwre - Maintenance	Infrastructure						1
Server Rack Mount	Infrastructure						
Oracle Enterprise Per Processor -					İ	i	
Includes Year 1 Maintenance	Infrastructure	į	į		İ	İ	
Oracle Enterprise Per Processor - Year		į	į		i i	<u> </u> 	
2 and Beyond	Infrastructure						

		Potential Cost Extensions						
	Project Cost							
Cost Description	Category	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6	
SQL Server Enterprise - Per Processor				!				
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2016-Aug								
2017 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug								
2019	Infrastructure							
SQL Server Enterprise - Per Processor								
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2017-Aug								
2018 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug								
2019	Infrastructure			İ				
SQL Server Enterprise - Per Processor								
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2018-Aug								
2019 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug								
2019	Infrastructure							
SQL Server Enterprise - Maintenance,			1 1	!				
Per Processor (4 cores) - Sept 2019								
and Beyond	Infrastructure							
SQL Server Standard - Per Processor								
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2016-Aug								
2017 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug								
2019	Infrastructure			İ				
SQL Server Standard - Per Processor								
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2017-Aug			•					
2018 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug			į	İ				
2019	Infrastructure							
SQL Server Standard - Per Processor								
(4 cores) - Purchased Sept 2018-Aug			İ					
2019 - Includes Maintenance thru Aug				-			! ! !	
2019	Infrastructure							
SQL Server - Standard Maintenance,								
Per Processor (4 cores) - Sept 2019								
and Beyond	Infrastructure							
Websphere Basic Per Processor								
Single/Dual Core - Includes Year 1								
Maintenance	Infrastructure			<u> </u>				

		Potential Cost Extensions						
	Project Cost							
Cost Description	Category	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6	
					: :	: :	<u> </u>	
Websphere Basic Per Processor				ļ	! ! !		! !	
Single/Dual Core - Year 2 and Beyond	Infrastructure							
Websphere ND Per Processor			•	1			!	
Single/Dual Core - Includes Year 1								
Maintenance	Infrastructure			1				
Websphere ND Per Processor								
Single/Dual Core - Year 2 and Beyond	Infrastructure		!	1			!	
SSL Certificate	Infrastructure		<u> </u>	<del>-</del>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Internet Access			<u> </u>					
	Infrastructure		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	}	<u> </u>	
Imperva Web Application Firewall	l							
(External Web Applications Only)	Infrastructure		<u> </u>	-		<u> </u>	•	
App Code Directories on Consolidated				ĺ		İ		
IIS Server (Virtual)	Infrastructure		<u> </u>	1	]   	! !		
Database (5 GB) on Consolidated SQL								
Instance Server	Infrastructure							
Database Instance (125 GB DB) on			!	1			!	
Consolidated SQL Server	Infrastructure							
Database SQL Maint Server	Infrastructure		ļ	-	! !	!	! !	
Database SQL Server Physical	Infrastructure							
DB Maintenance (Annual Cycle \$610)	Infrastructure							
DB Maintenance (Semi-Annual Cycle								
\$1220)	Infrastructure			<u> </u>	1 1 1			
DB Maintenance (Semi-Annual Cycle								
\$2440)	Infrastructure			<u> </u>				
Dedicated Virtual Server	Infrastructure			<u> </u>				
DB Instance Setup	Infrastructure							
DBA MS SQL Database Creation on				1				
Exisitng Instance	Infrastructure							
Fitte Creell O Core OOD DAM 5000D							•	
Extra Small - 2 Core 8GB RAM, 500GB			İ	İ		İ		
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual = \$601			ļ	ļ	! !			
On Premise Physical Server = N/A	Infrastructure		ļ	!	!	<u>!</u>	!	

		Potential Cost Extensions						
Cost Description	Project Cost Category	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6	
Small 4 Core 16CD DAM 500CD								
Small - 4 Core 16GB RAM, 500GB Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual = \$951			İ					
	Infrastructure			ļ	•			
, , , , , ,	mirastructure		<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	
Medium - 8 Core 32GB RAM, 500GB								
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual =								
\$1,702 On Premise Physical Server =			İ	İ	•	İ	İ	
\$9,751	Infrastructure							
Large - 16 Core 64GB RAM, 500GB								
Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual =				į	•			
\$3,167 On Premise Physical Server =			-	-	}		ļ	
\$10,446	Infrastructure				•			
Extra Large - 40 Core 160GB RAM,								
500GB Drive, 10 GB NIC - Cloud/Virtual			•		į			
= \$7,564 On Premise Physical Server =								
\$12,906	Infrastructure			•	•			
Project Staff Training	Training							
User Training	Training							
						}		
			!			!	!	

## Cost Summary

Cost Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Total
Development Services:							
IT Hours - New Development	41,250	42,075					83,325
IT Hours - System Maintenance							
IT Hours - Customer Support							
IT Hours - Planned Maintenance							
User Hours - New Development							
User Hours - PTNE/OT							
Contractor Professional Services							
Development Services Subtotal:	41,250	42,075					83,325
Hardware:	,	,					
Hardware Subtotal:							
Software:							
Software Subtotal.							
Infrastructure:							
Infrastructure Subtotal							
Training:							
Training Subtotal:							
Other:							
Other Subtotal:							
Costs Total:	41,250	42,075					83,325

# **Data Analysis Strategy & Implementation**

As Of: 6/12/18

Return on Investment Analysis

## Assumptions

Date	Assumption Description
12-Jun-18	There would also be customer support, system maintenace and PMU plans in place to support this New Development program.