Project Name: Kiosk Strategy Project ID:TN0186KS

Leadership Group: Information Technology Steering Committee										
Department: Information Technological	ду	Division: Tec	hnical Systems a	ind Networking						
Project Sponsor: Joe Tabor	Date Requeste	ed: 6/12/2020 PM Customer No. 186								
Request Type: New Development										
IT Team Name: Workstation Services IT Team No: N										
Project Manager/Leader: Mike Ze	mina									
Account 17030 Account Descript		Technical Systems and		Information Technology						
Grant Funded? No	Ma	indate? No								

#### **Project Goal**

To create a security standard to provide for future deployments so that the Windows-based Kiosk technology is homogenous County-wide.

#### **Business Objective**

To standardize the Windows-based Kiosk technology County-wide.

#### **Major Deliverables**

- Gather the existing Windows-based Kiosk security configuration
- Review of current configuration guidelines & adopt new windows-based security standard
- Institutionalizing the standard
  - Create standardized security standard
  - o Create new Windows-based Kiosk Security Questionnaire/checklist
  - Create new Change Order category and develop workflow for new Windows-based Kiosks (to identify conformance/non-conformance to new standard)
  - Knowledge Document
  - Broadcast message
- Tech review and approval
- Leadership review and approval

#### Approach

- Assess current Windows-based Kiosks configurations
- Develop new standard
- Develop Change Order workflow process for Kiosks
- Develop communications and documentation
- Present for management approval

Project Name: Kiosk Strategy Project ID:TN0186KS

#### Research & Analysis

**Gartner Research Recommendation** – N/A

#### **Benefits**

See Return on Investment (ROI) Analysis Document

#### **Impact**

Number of Users Oakland County end users

**Divisions** Oakland County

Leadership Groups IT

#### **Risk**

**Business Environment** Low = little or no impact to existing business processes. **Technical Environment** Low = proven and previously implemented technologies.

#### **Assumptions**

**Staffing** IT Staffing: resources will be available for the hours indicated per the attached

project plan.

Other Staffing: additional staffing will be available as follows:

Role: Name

Sponsor/ TSN Stakeholder: Joe Tabor
IT Stakeholder: EJ Widun
Security Stakeholder: TJ Fields

CLEMIS Stakeholder: Jeff Nesmith

Internal Services Stakeholder: Janette McKenna Apps Stakeholder: Tammi Shepherd

EA Stakeholder: EJ Widun

Project Name: Kiosk Strategy Project ID:TN0186KS

#### **Facilities**

•

#### **Technical**

- Some vendor supplied devices on Linux (i.e., Health); Most others on Windows
- Mostly web-based applications, but some 'hardened' programs.
- Both stand-alone (no network) and network connected devices
- Kiosks are mostly for single purpose usage only (a specific web page, application, etc.)
   with no network drive.
- Non-County End users
- Current builds are case by case and management of these is therefore not streamlined
- Mostly single purpose devices that are Application / Department specific (i.e., Health)

#### **Funding**

Information Technology

#### Other

- Approximately 25 Kiosks currently in use by Oakland County departments.
- · Lacking standard security standard.

#### **Priority**

#### **Constraints**

•

#### **Exclusions**

 Current kiosk devices are not in scope for this project. The Security Standard will be applied to new Kiosks introduced into the environment.

Project Name: Kiosk Strategy Project ID:TN0186KS

#### **PROJECT PHASE AUTHORIZATION**

Phase(s): ALL		
Total Estimated Application Services	Hours:	
Total Estimated Technical Systems	Hours: 214	
Total Estimated CLEMIS	Hours:	
Total Estimated Internal Services	Hours: 6	
IT Application Services Division Manager Approval:	Date:	
IT Technical Systems Division Manager Approval:	Date:	
IT CLEMIS Division Manager Approval:		Date:
IT Internal Services Division Manager Approval:		Date:
IT Management Approval:		
Approved: Ye	s No	Date:
Reason:		
Project Sponsor Approval:		
Title:		Date:

#### PROJECT SUMMARY

Authorized Development (see above)	Hours:	
Preliminary Estimated Development for Future Phases	Hours:	
Grand Total Estimated Development	Hours: 220	Cost: \$36,300

Project Name: Kiosk Strategy Project ID:TN0186KS

#### PROJECT COMPLETION AUTHORIZATION

Customer Acceptance of Product:							
Title:	Date:						
Project Office Review:	Date:						

Kiosk Strategy - Size Estimate (+/- 10% to 50%) ×											
Туре	ID	Task Name	Estimated Hours	Estimate Notes							
1 Phase	000000	<b>■ PROJECT MANAGEMENT</b>	47								
2 Phase	100000	<b>■ ANALYZE PHASE</b>	60								
3 Phase	200000	<b>■ REQUIREMENTS PHASE</b>	58								
4 Phase	300000	<b>■ REVIEW &amp; PUBLISH PHASE</b>	55								
5											
1			220								

#### **Project Summary**

Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Total
Benefits/Savings:							
Tangible Benefits Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost Avoidance Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Costs:							
Development Services Subtotal:	36,300	0	0	0	0	0	36,300
Hardware Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Software Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Infrastructure Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Training Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Statistics:							
Annual Total Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Total Costs	36,300	0	0	0	0	0	36,300
Annual Return on Investment	(36,300)						(36,300)
Annual Costs/Savings Ratio	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	(00,000)
Project Cumulative Statistics:							
Cumulative Total Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative Total Costs	36,300	36,300	36,300	36,300	36,300	36,300	36,300
Cumulative Return on Investment	(36,300)	(36,300)	(36,300)	(36,300)	(36,300)	(36,300)	(36,300)
Cumulative Cost/Savings Ratio	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Veer Desitive Devised, Askieved							NO PAYBACK
Year Positive Payback Achieved State or Federal Mandate?							NO PATBACK
Signatures:							
Benefits Reviewed By Project Sponsor				Date:			
Costs (including IT Resources) Reviewed By							
Information Technology Project Manager				Date:			

#### Oakland County -- Kiosk Strategy

Return on Investment Analysis

#### Savings Detail

Benefit/Savings Description	Project Savings Category	Budget Category/Funding Source	Unit Desc	Units	Rate per Unit	Total Savings
Standardizing the builds, creating, modifying, and deploying work instructions is complicated. This will create a platform that allows better management and ease to create in less time.	Intangible Benefit	Technical Services & Ntwkg				0
Replaces manual steps and pages with standardized work instruction to increase consistentcy.	Intangible Benefit	Technical Services & Ntwkg				0
	Intangible Benefit	Technical Services & Ntwkg				0
Improved version control capabilities that allows editing and deploying to be more efficient, consistent and less time consuming.	Intangible Benefit	Technical Services & Ntwkg				0
	Intangible Benefit	Technical Services & Ntwkg				0
When standardization is enforced, builds, security and support are consistent. (e.g., to prevent vendor installs non standard OS that do not get patched or fall out of support).	Intangible Benefit	Technical Services & Ntwkg				0
IT working with customer to develop upon collaborations and relationship building.	Intangible Benefit	Technical Services & Ntwkg				0
						0
						0

#### Savings Detail

		Affec	ts Pı	rojec	t RO	OI?		Po	tential Savi	ngs Extensi	ons	
Benefit/Savings Description	Project Savings Category	Y1 Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6
Standardizing the builds, creating, modifying, and deploying work instructions is complicated. This will create a platform that allows better management and ease to create in less time.	Intangible Benefit											
Replaces manual steps and pages with standardized work instruction to increase consistentcy.	Intangible Benefit											
Tracking and auditing of kiosk devices across the OC footprint will now be in place.	Intangible Benefit											
Improved version control capabilities that allows editing and deploying to be more efficient, consistent and less time consuming.	Intangible Benefit											
List the software and standardization required, and will walk through step-by-step instructions of how to install them. It crystallizes the explanations so that IT will be able to replicate it.	Intangible Benefit											
When standardization is enforced, builds, security and support are consistent. (e.g., to prevent vendor installs non standard OS that do not get patched or fall out of support).	Intangible Benefit											
	Intangible Benefit											
		1	-	-					i ! !	i !	<u> </u>	

#### Oakland County -- Kiosk Strategy

Return on Investment Analysis

#### Savings Summary

Benefit/Savings Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Total
Tangible Benefit:							
Tangible Benefits Subtotal:							
Cost Avoidance:							
Cost Avoidance:							
Cost Avoidance Subtotal:							
Intangible Benefit:							
Standardizing the builds, creating,							
modifying, and deploying work instructions is							
complicated. This will create a platform that allows better management and ease to							
create in less time.							
Replaces manual steps and pages with							
standardized work instruction to increase							
consistentcy.							
Tracking and auditing of kiosk devices							
across the OC footprint will now be in place.							
Improved version control capabilities that							
allows editing and deploying to be more							
efficient, consistent and less time							
consuming.  List the software and standardization							
required, and will walk through step-by-step							
instructions of how to install them. It							
crystallizes the explanations so that IT will							
be able to replicate it.							
When standardization is enforced, builds,							
security and support are consistent. (e.g., to							
prevent vendor installs non standard OS that							
do not get patched or fall out of support).  IT working with customer to develop upon							
collaborations and relationship building.							
Savings Total:							
earnings rotain							I

#### Cost Detail

								Af	fects	s Pro	ject	t RO	<b>i</b> ?
	Project Cost	Budget Category/Funding	Unit		Rate per		Annual			i			
Cost Description	Category	Source	Desc	Units	Unit	Total Cost	Multiplier	Y1	Y2	<b>Y3</b>	Y4	Y5	Y6
IT Hours - New Development	Development Svcs	Technical Services & Ntwkg		220	165	36,300		Х		ŀ			
										į		- 1	
										i			

REV: January 22, 2018

#### Cost Detail

		Potential Cost Extensions								
	Project Cost									
Cost Description	Category	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6			
IT Hours - New Development	Development Svcs	36,300								
				)    -	I I !	]   				
						į				

REV: January 22, 2018

#### Cost Summary

Cost Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Total
Development Services:							
IT Hours - New Development	36,300						36,300
Development Services Subtotal:	36,300						36,300
Hardware:							
Hardware Subtotal:							
Software:							
Software Subtotal:							
Infrastructure:							
Infrastructure Subtotal							
Training:							
Training Subtatal							
Training Subtotal:							
Other:							
Other Subtetal							
Other Subtotal:	26 200						26 200
Costs Total:	36,300						36,300

### Oakland County -- Kiosk Strategy

As Of: 10/1/2018

Return on Investment Analysis

#### Assumptions

Date	Assumption Description