Project Name: Universal Threat Management Implementation Project ID: TP1186FW

Leadership Group: Information Technology Steering Committee									
Department: Information Technology				Division: Tecl	nnical Systems a	nd Networking			
Project Sp	onsor: Mike	Timm	Date Requ	ested: 5/18/20	PM Custom	er No. 186			
Request Type: New Development									
	ame: Network			IT Team No: P					
Project Ma Account Number:	nager/Leade 17030	er: Jerry Cadreau Account Description:		tion Technology	Customer Name:	Information Technology			
Grant Fund	led?	No		Mandate? Mandate Source:		No			

Project Goal

To replace the internal and external threat management systems so that data security is maintained and improved.

Business Objective

Implement internal and external threat management system.

Major Deliverables

- 1. Implemented Threat Management System
- 2. System documentation
- 3. DR Toolkit

Approach

- 1. Migrate existing firewall policies.
- 2. Migrate by interface
- 3. Complete penetration scanning and testing
- 4. Complete staff training and documentation

Project Name: Universal Threat Management Implementation Project ID: TP1186FW

Research & Analysis

Gartner Research Recommendation Research Conducted

Network firewalls form the foundation for overall network security, often subsuming related functions such as application awareness and control, intrusion detection and prevention, URL filtering, advanced malware detection, logging, and reporting. IDPS, application control and URL filtering continue to remain the top three security features being used, in addition to pure firewall functionality.

More organizations are moving toward hybrid networks and seeking firewall capabilities in the cloud, and cloud vendors are also offering native firewall capabilities to their clients. Traditional firewalls also offer support for these cloud platforms. Cloud-native firewall policy support is an emerging requirement for network firewall shortlists.

The firewall as a service (FWaaS) delivery model is still not gaining much traction because it usually fulfills requirements for only the branch office egress traffic use case. It is not capable of meeting the requirements for high performance, traffic ingress or internal segmentation.

Benefits

See Return on Investment (ROI) Analysis Document

Impact

Number of Users The firewalls affect all users of the County network.

Divisions All

Leadership Groups Information Technology Steering Committee

Risk

Business Environment Low - Little or no impact to existing business processes

Technical Environment Low – Proven and previously implemented technologies

Project Name: Universal Threat Management Implementation Project ID: TP1186FW

Assumptions

Staffing IT Staffing: resources will be available for the hours indicated per the attached

project plan.

Other Staffing: additional staffing will be available as follows:

Role:	<u>Name</u>	Hours per Day
Project Sponsor:	Mike Timm	As Needed
Chief of Network Services:	Guy Compton	As Needed
CTO / EA Stakeholder:	EJ Widun	As Needed
CISO Stakeholder	T.J. Fields	As Needed
Internal Services Stakeholder:	Janette McKenna	As Needed
App Services Stakeholder:	Tammi Shepherd	As Needed
CLEMIS Stakeholder:	Jeff Nesmith	As Needed

Facilities

Not Applicable

Technical

None

Funding

• Information Technology

Other

- RFP and Requirement of the Universal Threat Management system will be delivered in TP0186FW - Universal Threat Management Replacement.
- Firewall EOL is June 2022. So the implementation of solution is to be completed before that timeframe.

Priority

•

Project Name: Universal Threat Management Implementation Project ID: TP1186FW

Constraints

Not Applicable

Exclusions

•

Project Name: Universal Threat Management Implementation Project ID: TP1186FW

PROJECT PHASE AUTHORIZATION

Phase(s): All				
Total Estimated Application Services	Hou	s:	320	
Total Estimated Technical Systems	Hou	s:	2,266	
Total Estimated CLEMIS	Hou	s:		
Total Estimated Internal Services	Hou	s:		
IT Application Services Division Manager Appro	oval:			Date:
IT Technical Systems Division Manager Approv	val:			Date:
IT CLEMIS Division Manager Approval:				Date:
IT Internal Services Division Manager Approval	:			Date:
IT Management Approval:				
Approved:	Yes		No	Date:
Reason:				
Project Sponsor Approval:				
Title:				Date:

PROJECT SUMMARY

Authorized Development (see above)	Hours: 2,586	
Preliminary Estimated Development for Future Phases	Hours:	
Grand Total Estimated Development	Hours: 2,586	Cost: \$ 426,690

Project Name: Universal Threat Management Implementation Project ID: TP1186FW

PROJECT COMPLETION AUTHORIZATION

Customer Acceptance of Product:	
Title:	Date:
Project Office Review:	Date:

Universal Threat Management Implementation - Size Estimate (+/- 10% to 50%)

1	Туре	ID	Task Name	Estimated
2				Hours
3	3	000000	PROJECT MANAGEMENT	551
4	Phase	100000	IMPLEMENTATION PHASE	1,681
5	Phase	200000	POST IMPLEMENTATION SUPPORT	354
6				2,586

Project Summary

Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Total
Benefits/Savings:							
Tangible Benefits Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost Avoidance Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Costs:							
Development Services Subtotal:	426,690	0	0	0	0	0	426,690
Hardware Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Software Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Infrastructure Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Training Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Statistics:							
Annual Total Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Total Costs	426,690	0	0	0	0	0	426,690
	(122222)						
Annual Return on Investment	(426,690)						(426,690)
Annual Costs/Savings Ratio	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Project Cumulative Statistics:							
Cumulative Total Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative Total Costs	426,690	426,690	426,690	426,690	426,690	426,690	426,690
Cumulative Return on Investment	(426,690)	(426 600)	(426,690)	(426,690)	(426 600)	(426,690)	(426,690)
	0.00%	(426,690)			(426,690)	0.00%	(426,690)
Cumulative Cost/Savings Ratio	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Year Positive Payback Achieved							NO PAYBACK
State or Federal Mandate?							
Signatures:							
Benefits Reviewed By Project Sponsor	Date:						
			_				
Ocata (in Indian IT Becomes A) E in 15							
Costs (including IT Resources) Reviewed By							
Information Technology Project Manager	Date:						

As Of: 9/28/2020

Savings Detail

Benefit/Savings Description	Project Savings Category	Budget Category/Funding Source	Unit Desc	Units	Rate per Unit	Total Savings	Annual Multiplier
Updated Threat Management system will increase the safety of all Oakland							
1	Intangible Benefit					0	

As Of: 9/28/2020

Savings Detail

		Affects Project ROI?			Potential Saving	gs Extensions	Potential Savings Extensions					
	Project Savings											
Benefit/Savings Description	Category	Y1 Y2 Y3 Y4 Y5 Y6	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6				
Updated Threat Management system						I I						
will increase the safety of all Oakland						i ! !	i !					
County applications and data	Intangible Benefit											

Return on Investment Analysis Savings Summary

Benefit/Savings Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Total
Tangible Benefit:							
Tangible Benefits Subtotal:							
Cost Avoidance:							
Cost Avoidance Subtotal:							
Intangible Benefit:							
Updated Threat Management system will							
increase the safety of all Oakland County applications and data							
approalisms and add							
Day to the Table							
Savings Total:							

Return on Investment Analysis Cost Detail

								Af	fect	s Pr	oje	ct R(
			Unit		Rate per		Annual				-	
Cost Description	Project Cost Category	Budget Category/Funding Source	Desc	Units	Unit	Total Cost	Multiplier	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	.¦Y5
IT Hours	Development Svcs			2,586	165	426,690	1.000	Χ	!		<u> </u>	
IT Hours - System Maintenance	Development Svcs				165	0	1.000		Χ			X
IT Hours - Customer Support	Development Svcs				165	0	1.000		Χ	Χ	Х	X
IT Hours - Planned Maintenance	Development Svcs				165	0	1.000		Χ		Х	
User Hours - New Development	Development Svcs					0			1		1	
User Hours - PTNE/OT	Development Svcs					0					İ	
Threat Management System	Hardware		EA		600,000	0	1.000	Х			1	
Professional Services to implement									-		1	
Threat Management System	Hardware		EA		120,000	0	1.000	х	1		•	
SSL Certificate	Infrastructure				845	0			i		İ	
TBD	Infrastructure			0	0	0			İ		1	
Internet Access	Infrastructure				180	0			1		1	
Project Staff Training	Training					0					-	
User Training	Training					0			ļ		!	

Cost Detail

		DI?		Po	tential Cost	Extensions		
Cost Description	Project Cost Category	Y6	Y 1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6
IT Hours	Development Svcs		426,690.00			:		
IT Hours - System Maintenance	Development Svcs	Х		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
IT Hours - Customer Support	Development Svcs	Х		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
IT Hours - Planned Maintenance	Development Svcs	Х		0.00		0.00		0.00
User Hours - New Development	Development Svcs					İ		
User Hours - PTNE/OT	Development Svcs					į		
Threat Management System	Hardware		0.00			i		
Professional Services to implement						į		
Threat Management System	Hardware		0.00			į	į	
SSL Certificate	Infrastructure					į		
TBD	Infrastructure		0.00			İ	i	
Internet Access	Infrastructure							
Project Staff Training	Training					ļ		
User Training	Training							

Return on Investment Analysis Cost Summary

426,690 426,690 0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	426,690 426,690
426,690	0		0		0	
	0		0		0	
		0		0		426.690
	0		0		0	426.690
						426.690
						426.690
						426,690
0						0,000
0						
0						
U						
	0	0	0	0		

As Of: 9/28/2020

Return on Investment Analysis Cost Summary

Cost Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Total
Other Subtotal:							
Costs Total:	426,690						426,690

As Of: 9/28/2020

Oakland County --Universal Threat Management Implemntation Return on Investment Analysis

Assumptions

Date	Assumption Description	
23-Sep-20	RFP and Requirement of the Universal Threat Management system will be delivered in TP0186FW - Universal Threat Management Replacement.	
23-Sep-20	Firewall EOL is June 2022. So the implementation of solution is to be completed before that timeframe.	